Retailer Characteristics



Berkeley County South Carolina



97 retailer assessments

The first step in changing the tobacco retail landscape in your state and community is to familiarize yourself with tobacco retailers. Let's start by assessing some basic tobacco retailer characteristics such as store type and whether retailers accept SNAP and/or WIC, two federal programs that assist low-income families.

TOBACCO RETAILER STORE TYPES IN YOUR COUNTY





























Assessment data was collected February-July 2017. NA=assessment item not asked. FDA enforcement data was collected between 2011-2016. Pairwise deletion methodology (i.e. assessed available store data rather than only complete store data) were utilized; therefore, the total number of assessments summarized for each assessment item may vary, depending on the amount of data that was available (or missing) for the particular assessment item. For more information, please visit countertools.org.

Product Availability & Youth Appeal





97 retailer assessments

There are many tobacco products available and advertised in stores. Several of these products may come in a variety of flavors that can appeal to youth.

PERCENT OF RETAILERS SELLING PRODUCTS

99% cigarettes

96% cigarillos, little cigars, blunts 20% cigars

82% e-cigarettes

94% smokeless











Assessment data was collected February-July 2017. NA=assessment item not asked. The denominator of flavored products sold is of retailers that sold these products (flavored or not). Pairwise deletion methodology (i.e. assessed available store data rather than only complete store data) were utilized; therefore, the total number of assessments summarized for each assessment item may vary, depending on the amount of data that was available (or missing) for the particular assessment item. References: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2012/

Price, Promotions & Youth Appeal



Berkeley County South Carolina



97 retailer assessments

Increasing the price of cigarettes is associated with lower initiation, higher cessation and lower overall consumption. However, the tobacco industry spends over 80% of their point-of-sale marketing dollars on strategies to reduce the price of tobacco. Additionally, youth populations are especially price-sensitive to the cost of tobacco.



Retailers advertised products at these average prices

\$3.73 CHEAPEST CIGARETTE

\$5.64 NEWPORT MENTHOL

\$8.12 BLU E-CIG (DISPOSABLE)

Retailers also had these price promotions and low cost products













Placement & Advertising



Berkeley County South Carolina



97 retailer assessments

In 2014, the Federal Trade Commission reported that the tobacco industry spent \$294 million on promotional allowances in an effort to strategically control the placement and advertising of tobacco. Retailers may place tobacco products and advertising at the eye level of children, near candy, or even in self-service displays.

TOBACCO PRODUCT ADVERTISING

12% ADS WITHIN OF retailers had 3FT. OF FLOOR





TOBACCO PRODUCT PLACEMENT

14% TOBACCO NEAR CANDY





Assessment data was collected between February-July 2017. NA-assessment item was not asked. Pairwise deletion methodology (i.e. assessed available store data rather than only complete store data) were utilized; therefore, the total number of assessments summarized for each assessment item may vary, depending on the amount of data that was available (or missing) for the particular assessment item. For more information, please visit countertools.org. References: https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2016/03/ftc-releases-reports-2014-cigarette-smokeless-tobaccosales

TOBACCO RETAILER REDUCTION

Restricting tobacco sales in pharmacies





The display and availability of tobacco products in pharmacies, which are perceived as "healthy" stores, suggests that tobacco is a safe and acceptable product. Restrictions on the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies are supported both by the pharmacy community and the general public. One option to reduce tobacco retailers is to prohibit tobacco product sales in stores with pharmacy counters.

16 APHARMACY COUNTER





The right prescription. JAMA 2008;300(12):1451-3; Hudmon KS, Fenlon CM, Corelli RL, Prokhorov AV, Schroeder SA. Tobacco sales in pharmacies: time to quit. Tob Control 2006;15(1):35-38; Smith, DM, Hyland AJ, Rivard C, Bednarczyk EM, Brody PM, Marshall JR. Tobacco sales in pharmacies: a survey of attitudes, knowledge and beliefs of pharmacists employed in student experiential and other worksites in Western New York. BMC Res Notes 2012 Aug 6;5:413; Patwardhan P, McMillen R, Winicko JP. Consumer perceptions of the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies and grocery stores among U.S. adults. BMC Res Notes 2013 Jul 9;6:261.

TOBACCO RETAILER REDUCTION

1000 ft. minimum distance from a school



Berkeley County South Carolina



223 Tobacco Retailers Tobacco retailers near schools often have greater amounts of tobacco advertisements, increasing youth exposure to tobacco marketing, and greater access to tobacco products. Prohibiting retailers within 1,000 feet of schools may reduce tobacco retailer density.







TOBACCO RETAILER REDUCTION

500 ft. minimum distance between retailers



Berkeley County South Carolina



223 Tobacco Retailers

Tobacco retailer density is higher in U.S. communities with lower median household incomes and/or a higher percentage of African American or Latino fămilies. Requiring a minimum distance between retailers (500 feet) may reduce retailer clustering in communities where retailer density is already highest.

110 WITHIN 500 FT OF retailers are ANOTHER RETAILER







For more information, please visit countertools.org. References: Schneider JE, Reid RJ, Peterson NA, Lowe JB, Hughey J. Tobacco outlet density and demographics at the tract level of analysis in lowa: implications for environmental based prevention initiatives. Prev Sci, 2005;6(4):319-25; Hyland A, Travers MJ, Cummings KM, Bauer J, Alford T, Wieczorek WF. Tobacco outlet density and demographics in Erie County, New York. Am J Public Health 2003 Jul;93(7):1075-6.